The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman 1 3 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 KING COUNTY, a Washington municipal 10 corporation, No. 14-cv-1957-MJP Plaintiffs. 11 DECLARATION OF JOHN C. BJORKMAN IN SUPPORT OF 12 KING COUNTY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON 13 TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY, a BAD FAITH FAILURE TO Connecticut corporation; AETNA DEFEND AGAINST DEFENDANT CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY, a 14 Connecticut corporation; HARTFORD **PROVIDENCE** 15 ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; 16 PROVIDENCE WASHINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY, a Rhode Island 17 corporation; AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE COMPANY, a New York 18 corporation, Defendants. 19 20 I, John C. Bjorkman, hereby state that: 21 1. I am counsel for Plaintiff King County. I make this declaration in support of 22 King County's Motion for Summary Judgment on Bad Faith Failure to Defend Against 23 Providence. 24 2. I certify that I have personal knowledge of the matters stated in this 25 declaration. 26 DECLARATION OF JOHN C. BJORKMAN IN SUPPORT OF KING COUNTY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON BAD FAITH FAILURE TO DEFEND AGAINST DEFENDANT PROVIDENCE - 1

- 3. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the July 19, 2013 letter I signed and directed my staff to mail to Providence tendering the defense of the suits described below and in the declaration of William E. Blakney. I directed my staff to send the letter certified mail, and a copy of Providence's signed acknowledgment is attached as **Exhibit B.** I directed my staff to send this tender at the same time King County tendered the same suits to dozens of its other insurance carriers.
- 4. The underlying suits include a United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and Washington Department of Ecology ("Ecology") joint enforcement action described in the declaration of William E. Blakney. King County continues to incur defense costs for this suit.
- 5. King County is also engaged in a litigation-style allocation process ("Allocation Case") among King County and 44 other parties seeking to divide up the past investigation costs and future cleanup cost obligations to EPA and Ecology for the Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund Site ("Lower Duwamish"). King County has and continues to incur defense costs for this suit. I am one of the attorneys representing the County in this suit.
- 6. King County tendered an ongoing enforcement action for the East Waterway operable unit of the Harbor Island Superfund site ("East Waterway"), a separate (though adjoining) Superfund Site and cleanup. Mr. Blakney also describes this site in his declaration. The County has and continues to incur defense costs for this suit.
- 7. King County tendered two previously settled lawsuits arising out of "Early Action Area" cleanup actions located within, and forming a part of, the Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund Site. I worked on the defense of these cases which is now concluded.

- 8. Along with the July 18, 2013 tender (Exhibit A), I sent Providence information and copies of documents on a CD related to the underlying suits in order to aid Providence in making a defense determination. Attached to this declaration are true and correct copies of the key documents sent to Providence which include: (a) the applicable insurance policy (Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Jennifer Hills); (b) Ecology's Lower Duwamish notice letter formally stating its decision that the County was potentially liable for cleanup costs (Exhibit C); (c) an EPA and Ecology administrative order for the Lower Duwamish compelling the initial study of the scope and extent of contamination and requiring the development of remedial alternatives (Exhibit D); (d) the relevant notice letter for East Waterway (Exhibit E); and (e) the two Early Action suits and settlements (Exhibit F).
- 9. The tender letter I sent (Exhibit A) also included a description of the Allocation Case, the process for which we were negotiating at that time. The Allocation Case did not formally commence until April 2014.
- 10. Providence acknowledged receipt of tender by way of a letter dated July 29,2013. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached as Exhibit G. In this letter,Providence requested additional information on the timing and nature of the activities giving rise to the underlying suit and the name of an appropriate contact at King County.
- 11. Attached as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of King County's consolidated response to questions from its various insurers dated September 11, 2013. In this correspondence, King County provided answers to Providence's requests for additional information (pages 1-2). This response further identified King County's attorneys as the appropriate contact for Providence (page 6). I directed my staff to send this correspondence to Providence and the County's other insurance carriers.

12. I provided Providence with regular updates on the suits between September 2013 and the filing of this action. Copies of these communications are attached as **Exhibit I**. During this time King County received no substantive communication from Providence. Attached as **Exhibit J** are true and correct copies of the post-July 2013 communications to and from Providence up to the filing of this action.

- 13. Between 2013 and 2014, three other carriers agreed to defend King County. True and correct copies of their decision letters are attached as **Exhibit K**. I had previously directed my staff to send to these three carriers similar tender letters as the one sent to Providence (Exhibit A) and the same information and copies of documents as were sent to Providence on the CD (see paragraph 8 above). I also directed my staff to send the September 11, 2013 consolidated response to questions (Exhibit H) and many of the follow up status communications (Exhibit I). These carriers were apparently able to correctly determine their defense obligation with the information provided.
- 14. Despite recognizing their duty to defend, two of these carriers have not yet paid any defense costs as of the date of this declaration. The third paid a portion of the County's defense costs but now seeks reimbursement claiming the effect of a prior settlement agreement between the County and that insurer. See, Continental Casualty Company v. King County, No. 2:15-cv-797 (W.D. Wash. May 21, 2015). While this suit has been filed, plaintiff has not yet served it as the parties continue to negotiate a resolution.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct: EXECUTED this 6th day of August, 2015 at Seattle, Washington.

/s/ John C. Bjorkman
John C. Bjorkman
Attorney for Plaintiff King County

DECLARATION OF JOHN C. BJORKMAN IN SUPPORT OF KING COUNTY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON BAD FAITH FAILURE TO DEFEND AGAINST DEFENDANT PROVIDENCE - 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following counsel of record:

Attorney for American Home Assurance Company:	Attorney for Providence Washington Insurance Company:
Jeffrey D. Laveson Linda B. Clapham Carney Badley Spellman, PS 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98104-7010 Laveson@carneylaw.com Clapham@carneylaw.com	David M. Schoeggl Stephania Denton David W. Howenstine Lane Powell PC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200 Seattle, WA 98111-9402 schoeggld@lanepowell.com dentons@lanepowell.com howenstined@lanepowell.com
Attorney for Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company:	Attorney for Travelers Indemnity Company and Aetna Casualty and Surety Company:
Carl E. Forsberg Kenneth J. Cusack Forsberg & Umlauf, P.S. 901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1400 Seattle, WA 98164 cforsberg@forsberg-umlauf.com kcusack@forsberg-umlauf.com	Everett W. Jack, Jr. Mr. Steven P. Caplow Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 1300 SW 5 th Ave., Ste 2400 Portland, OR 97201-5682 everettjack@dwt.com stevecaplow@dwt.com

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 6th day of August, 2015

By <u>s/Kathy Jacobson</u> Kathy Jacobson

DECLARATION OF JOHN C. BJORKMAN IN SUPPORT OF KING COUNTY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON BAD FAITH FAILURE TO DEFEND AGAINST DEFENDANT PROVIDENCE - 5